

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ICANT: Shaughnessy J.D.

FILED:

December 04, 2003

SERIAL NO.: 10/727,461

FOR:

Identification of Molecular Determinants §

of Myeloma Bone Disease

§ DOCKET:

CONFIRMATION NO.

6235

Fetterolf, B.J.

§

§ ART UNIT: 1642

§ EXAMINER:

D6485

MS Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Dear Sir:

In response to the Examiner communication mailed May 3, 2005, Applicant hereby elects with traverse Group IV, claims 15, 16 in part, 17 in part, 18 in part and 19-25, drawn to a method of determining the risk of developing bone disease in test individual comprising examining a human homologue of Dickkopf-1 (DKK1), where the expression level is determined at the protein level.

Applicant further requests that Group III, claims 15, 16 in part, 17 in part, 18 in part and 19-25 be rejoined with Group IV, claims 15, 16 in part, 17 in part, 18 in part and 19-25 for examination. Group III is drawn to a method of determining the risk of developing bone disease in test individual comprising examining a human homologue of Dickkopf-1 (DKK1), wherein expression level is determined at the nucleic acid level. The Examiner contends that Groups IV and III are distinct since they have different mode of operation, different function

and also differ in the methodology used for detecting the different products.

Applicant respectfully disagrees.

Applicant submits that although the method in Group IV is directed

to examining DKK1 at the protein level and the method in group III is directed to

examining DKK1 at the nucleic acid level to determine the risk of developing

bone disease in a test individual, they comprise a common WNT signaling

antagonist such as DKK1. Furthermore, the instant invention teaches of high

levels of DKK1 protein expression in plasma cells from cases that showed high

DKK1 gene expression (page 5, lines 7-17; Examples 8-11,15; Table 2).

Therefore, a search for prior art related to method in Group IV would also reveal

any prior art relevant to the method in Group III. Hence, the examination of

Groups IV and III together will not pose a serious burden on the Examiner.

Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully requests that Group IV, claims 15, 16 in

part, 17 in part, 18 in part and 19-25 drawn to examination of DKK-1 at protein

level be joined with Group III, claims 15, 16 in part, 17 in part, 18 in part and 19-

25 drawn to examination of DKK-1 at nucleic acid level for examination.

Respectfully submitted,

ADLER & ASSOCIATES 8011 Candle Lane

Houston, Texas 77071

713-270-5391

badler1@houston.rr.com

Benjamin Aaron Adler, Ph.D., J.D.

Registration No. 35,423

Counsel for Applicant

2



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: Shaughnessy J.D.

FILED: December 04, 2003

SERIAL NO.: 10/727,461

FOR: Identification of Molecular

Determinants of Myeloma Bone

Disease

ART UNIT: 1642

EXAMINER:

Fetterolf, B.J.

DOCKET:

D6485

Mail Stop NON-FEE AMENDMENT

Commissioner of Patents P.O. BOX 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8

Dear Sir:

I hereby certify under 37 CFR 1.8 that the following correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage on the date indicated below and is addressed to Honorable Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Non-Fee Amendment, Alexandria, VA 22313.

- (1) Response to Restriction Requirement; (2 pages)
- (2) Return Postcard.

Please return the enclosed postcard acknowledging receipt of this correspondence.

Date: In 2,2005

ADLER & ASSOCIATES

8011 Candle Lane

Houston, Texas 77071

Tel:(713) 270-5391 Fax:(713) 270-5361

BADLER1@houston.rr.com

Respectfully submitted,

Benjamin Aaron Adler, Ph.D., J.D.

Registration No. 35,423 Counsel for Applicant